# **Poly-semantic Sequence Design for HRR Target Detection**

# Mohammed Moazzam Moinuddin

Associate Professor, Dept. of ECE, Maulana Azad National Urdu University Polytechnic Bangalore, India, moazzam\_95@yahoo.com.

**Abstract:-** In this paper, the modified hamming scan algorithm called Hamming Backtrack algorithm is employed by taking figure of merit as the measure of goodness. The two quantitative measures are used to evaluate the detection capabilities of the radar signal in presence of high density additive noise and Doppler shift. The simulation results based on this design algorithm gives the multiple target detection. **Keywords**— Hamming backtrack algorithm, Figure of merit, Doppler shift, Target detection.

### I. INTRODUCTION

In high resolution radar (HRR) systems, there is a need to employ sequences of larger lengths to achieve high pulse compression ratios [1], [2]. The Optimal binary codes (OBC) including Barker code (B13) and Golay codes [3] provide significant advantages in terms of detection and sidelobe suppression [4], but these codes are available at lower lengths less than 60. Earlier the generation of optimal sequences at higher length up to 5000 is developed for poly-alphabetic and bi-alphabetic sequences [5]-[7]. In poly-alphabetic radar [5] what is transmitted is a specially designed binary sequence so that there is no change in the transmission technology. On reception, it is decoded before further processing. This is a change in the current practice, the resistance to which has weakened, as decoding before further processing is also required by neural network processing [8]-[10] of radar return signal for additional advantage. After decoding, the return signal is subjected to multiple interpretations. Here, a binary sequence is transmitted, but through poly-gram reading, it can also be interpreted as quaternary and octal sequences. Thus, it is as if one sequence is physically transmitted, but three sequences are notionally transmitted and received. They can therefore be processed separately at the receiver to set up coincidence detection. In poly-alphabetic sequence design a bigram viewed as a quaternary element or a trigram viewed as an octal element is some what of a constrained concept. The Quaternary and octal elements as independent entities would have 3 and 7 first order Hamming neighbors, but bigrams and trigrams on the substratum of binary monograms, which undergo Hamming scan have only two and three first order Hamming neighbors. Thus, the higher order poly-gram interpretations have a disadvantage in Hamming scan. Also, the enlarged alphabets deteriorate the noise and Doppler robustness at higher lengths in poly-alphabetic sequence [6].

In order to overcome these drawbacks and to restrict the enlarged alphabets of poly- alphabetic sequence to binary, the poly-semantic sequences are proposed [11]. These sequences are mono-alphabetic nature of poly-alphabetic sequences. The work presented in [11] did not discuss about noise rejection ability of these sequences for detection a target in noise environment conditions. The work presented in this paper is an attempt to evaluate the detection ability of mono-alphabetic poly-semantic sequences for the application to high resolution radar in presence of high dense noise and Doppler frequency. In this paper, the detection performance of the detected signal in presence of additive noise and Doppler shift is evaluated in terms of figure of merit. The figure of merit is defined [12] as,

$$F^{(m)} = \frac{\overline{C^{(m)}(0)} - \max_{k \neq 0} \left[ \overline{C^{(m)}(k)} \right]}{\overline{C^{(m)}(0)}}$$
(1)

Here 'm' represents the number of bit errors obtained in the sequence. Thus, the figure of merit in (1) is defined in the context, when known number decoding errors are present in the detected signal. It is assume that distortion due to propagation delay is ignored. Also, the additive noise is independent with transmitted signal. But, in real time situation, the received signal is corrupted by random noise with unknown noise strength. If the additive noise exceeds the threshold level (at the detector), the received sequence is not true replica of transmitted signal. The resulting signal at the output of the detector will undergo any number of bit errors. Then the optimal waveform design problem is solved by redefining the measure of performance in (1) by taking into the effect of additive random noise at given signal to noise ratio ( $\eta$ ) as discussed in Sec. II.

### II. DESIGN ALGORITHM AND ASSOCIATED CONCEPTS

The concept of mono-alphabetic poly-semanticism [11] is similar to adaptation of the self-cooperative sequences. A binary sequence S with good autocorrelation properties is designed. It is doubled in length by interleaving another binary sequence  $T_1$  whose elements are so chosen that enlarged sequence is good. Yet another sequence  $T_2$  is interleaved to triple the length and the elements of former are so chosen that the new enlarged  $T_3$  sequence has good autocorrelation.

Let,  $S = [S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{N-1}]$  (2) be a transmitted signal of length N,

 $R = [R_0, R_1, R_2, ..., R_{N-1}]$  (3) is received signal. Here, R = S + W; where W is the additive noise signal at given  $\eta$ . Now, (1) is redefined as

$$F_{\eta} = \frac{\overline{C_{\eta}(0)} - \max_{k \neq 0} \left[\overline{C_{\eta}(k)}\right]}{\overline{C_{\eta}(0)}} \tag{4}$$

where,  $F_n$  is the figures of merit at given  $\eta$ .

The cross correlation between S & R at given  $\eta$  is

$$c_{\eta}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1-k} s_i r_{i+k}, \ k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N-1$$
(5)

Also, 
$$F_{\eta} = 1 - \frac{\max_{k \neq 0} \left[ \overline{C_{\eta}(k)} \right]}{\overline{C_{\eta}(0)}}$$
 or  $F_{\eta} = 1 - \frac{1}{D_{\eta}}$  (6)

Where, 
$$D_{\eta} = \frac{\overline{C_{\eta}(0)}}{\max_{k \neq 0} \left[\overline{C_{\eta}(k)}\right]}$$
 (7)

is the discrimination at given  $\eta$ .

The over head bars in (4) & (6) denote the averaging over the ensemble of R. The work presented in this paper considers the ensemble of R with 100 runs of additive noise signals in order to obtain more accurate performance. Here,  $F_{\eta}$  is a monotone function of  $D_{\eta}$  as in (6). When  $D_{\eta}$  goes to infinity,  $F_{\eta}$  becomes unity. The range of E is from 0 to 1, making E a non-authuistic measure

The range of  $F_{\eta}$  is from 0 to 1, making  $F_{\eta}$  a non-euphuistic measure.

In the detection process by employing coincidence detection, the return signal R is triply processed to exploit the goodness at three different stages of construction. The criterion of goodness, which is used for design, takes into account the interaction of the three interleaved sequences  $T_{1,}T_{2}$ , and  $T_{3,}$ 

### A. Hamming backtrack algorithm for PSS

To optimize the performance of goodness, the poly-semantic sequences should undergo Hamming scan [5] by considering figure of merit as desideratum. The Hamming scan algorithm may not perform recursive search among all these Hamming neighbors and results in suboptimal solution to the signal design problem. When poly-semantic Hamming scan yields no sequence with a figure of merit better than the previous sequence, the backtracking Hamming scan algorithm can be employed to improve further the objective function of the resulting sequence. It considers a prescribed number n called span of the best Hamming neighbors (though they are all inferior to starting sequence) and improves them separately by repeated recursive Hamming scan, say c times (called climb). If some sequences superior to the starting poly-semantic sequence results, the best among them is selected. A span of 6 and a climb of 2 is used in the proposed algorithm. If the Hamming backtracking succeeds in improving the value of figure of merit, the search can resume by further application of poly-semantic Hamming scan.

### B. Phase reversal effect due to Doppler shift

Another advantage of poly-semantic sequence arises because of its bi-phase mono-alphabetic nature. The bit error due to Doppler frequency occurs when the phase shift of the pulse exceeds  $\pm \pi/2$  unlike the poly phase sequence which results into a bit error when phase shift exceeds  $\pm \pi/M$ , where M indicates the number of

phase levels in the sequence. Fig. 1(a) shows the range of phase shift without bit errors for bi-phase sequences (M=2) and Fig. 1(b) for poly-phase sequences with M = 4.

In noise free environments, the phase shift added due to Doppler to the sub-pulses is monotonic function as required for goodness of measure. In poly-semantic sequences of length N, the maximum phase shift allowed on each sub-pulse of duration  $\tau = T/N$  sec without bit errors is less than  $\pm \pi/2N$ . Where as in poly-phase sequences the maximum allowable phase shift is less than  $\pm \pi/MN$  without bit errors. Therefore the poly-semantic sequences have M/2 times more Doppler tolerance when compared to poly phase sequences.



Fig. 1 Range of phase shift without bit errors (a) for bi-phase sequences (M=2) (b) poly-phase sequences with M = 4.



Fig. 2 Doppler phase shift on received bi-phase sequences (a) when phase shift is equal to  $\pm \pi/2$  (b) when phase shift is greater than  $\pm \pi/2$  (c) when additive noise is added along with Doppler phase shift.

In poly-semantic sequences, when the phase shift is equal to  $\pm \pi/2$ , the last sub-pulse in the sequence takes phase reversal. So the last bit produces an error as shown in Fig. 2 (a). When the phase shift exceeds  $\pm \pi/2$ , the bits within the period  $T_I \& T$  (where,  $T_I < T$ ) in Fig. 2(b) results into an error.

### III. POLY-SEMANTIC RADAR SIGNAL PROCESSOR

The generation of poly-semantic sequences is completed in two steps: first one using restricted (selective) Hamming backtracking scan for interspersed binary sequences and the second, using a complete Hamming backtracking scan with an appropriate joint objective function, which takes into the account of correlation properties between the received sequence R and predefined interleaved sequences in the process of signal design. The block schematic diagram of poly-semantic radar signal processor at the transmitter is shown in Fig. 3(a).

A. First step in the signal design

Consider, optimal binary codes or randomly generated binary codes of length N, given by  $S_1=A=[a_i]$  (8)

$S_1 = A = [a_j]$		
$B = [b_i]$		

(9)

and  $C=[c_j]$  (10) Where, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., N-1. The elements of these sequences are drawn from alphabet  $\{-1, +1\}$ .

The sequence  $S_1$  is mutated *viz.*  $+ \rightarrow -$ ,  $- \rightarrow +$  using Hamming backtracking scan algorithm to get optimum figure of merit. The sequences  $S_2$  of length 2N and  $S_3$  of length 3N are generated by interleaving the elements of  $S_1$ &B and  $S_2$ &C respectively as shown in Fig. 3(a). Therefore

 $\begin{array}{ccc} S_2 = [a_j b_j] & (11) \\ \text{And} & S_3 = [a_j b_j c_j] & (12) \\ \text{Where, } j = \ 0, \ 1, 2, \ 3, \ \dots, \ N-1. \end{array}$ 

A selective Hamming backtracking scan algorithm [10] is applied on the sequences  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , so that the figure of merit of the output sequence is optimized. This algorithm performs mutations only on the embedded elements, *i.e.*,  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$  ... of the sequence  $S_2$ , and  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  ... of the sequence  $S_3$ , without disturbing the other elements.



Fig. 3 Block schematic diagram of poly-semantic radar signal processor (a) Transmitter (b) Receiver.

### B. Second step in the signal design

The sequence  $S_3$  is interspersed by binary sequences  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . It is equivalent to three sequences with good autocorrelation properties being transmitted in the form of  $S_3$ . On reception, the received waveform is decoded into binary sequence (R) and the cross correlation is computed in discrete mode. The decoded sequence R is cross correlated in the receiver with three pre designed sequences, given by

$T_1 = [ a_{0,} 0, 0, a_{1,} 0, 0, a_{2,} 0, 0 \dots a_{N-1}, 0, 0 ]$	(13)
$T_2 = [a_0, b_0, 0, a_1, b_1, 0, a_2, b_2, 0 \dots a_{N-1}, b_{N-1}, 0]$	(14)
$T_3 = [ a_{0,} b_{0,} c_{0,} a_{1,} b_{1,} c_{1,} a_{2,} \dots a_{N-1,} b_{N-1,} c_{N-1} ]$	(15)

The Hamming scan algorithm is applied on  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$  for optimizing the joint figure of merit  $F = (F_1+F_2+F_3)/3$ . Here,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and  $F_3$  are obtained by cross correlation of the sequences  $S_3 \& T_1$ ,  $S_3 \& T_2$ , and  $S_3 \& T_3$  respectively. The good figure of merit properties of these three interpretations are jointly used through coincidence detection for the detection of target. The binary sequence  $S_3(T_3)$  is transmitted as a waveform.

The signal processing system at the receiver is shown in Fig. 3(b). On reception, the received waveform which is perturbed by Gaussian noise and / or Doppler shift is decoded into binary sequence (R). The received binary sequence R is cross correlated with three embedded sequences  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$  (or  $S_3$ ) in three channels separately. The three cross correlation peaks in three channels coincide, which simultaneously indicates the presence of the target (Fig. 9). It can also be observed that the time sidelobes in three channels do not align. This in turn reduces the degree of false alarm because of time sidelobes in the return signal.

### IV. IMPROVED FIGURE OF MERIT OF PSS AT LARGER LENGTHS

The figure of merit of any sequence deteriorates as the noise strength increases and has individual performance deterioration pattern. That is the rate of deterioration can also vary from sequence to sequence. Thus, a sequence with superior performance at low noise levels could have a faster rate of deterioration than another sequence which has inferior performance at low noise levels compare with slower rate of deterioration. Under such situations, the ranking of sequences may be different at different noise levels [12], [13]. This situation becomes worse when the Doppler shift is also added to the return signal in addition to noise. But, for poly-semantic sequences the rate of deterioration in figure of merit remains uniform with respect to increase in noise and Doppler shift. The figure of merit of the poly-semantic sequence depends on the Hamming neighborhood of the transmitted signal so that the received signal is allowed to be anywhere in that neighborhood. Since the poly-semantic sequences are optimized with HBT algorithm, at higher sequence lengths (as the size of the neighborhood increases), it is possible to achieve better noise and Doppler performance in terms of figures of merit. Table I gives the figures of merit of poly-semantic sequences of length, N=585 to 5100. These results provide an evidence that the figure of merit is high at larger lengths and becomes stable as length increases.

			-		
Length N	Figure of Merit	Discriminat ion	Length N	Figure of Merit	Discrimination
585	0.9368	15.81	3159	0.9654	28.98
633	0.94	16.65	3645	0.9668	30.12
825	0.9479	19.186	4092	0.9662	29.65
1071	0.9486	19.47	4293	0.9694	32.77
1173	0.9497	19.88	4743	0.9693	32.71
1377	0.9527	21.18	4890	0.9701	33.49
1575	0.9600	25.00	5100	0.9694	32.69
2250	0.9613	25.86			

 TABLE I.

 FIGURE OF MERIT AND DISCRIMINATION FOR

 POLY-SEMANTIC SEQUENCES

# V. SIMULATION RESULTS AND PERFORMANCE EVALUTION

#### A. Noise robustness

When the PSS is perturbed by additive noise of different strengths, the noise effect on figures of merit at different sequence lengths is shown in Fig. 4. The noise performance is examined for different values of  $\eta$  ranging between 0 dB to -20 dB. The noise performance results clearly show that the PSS exhibits high noise robustness at the higher sequence lengths.

### B. Doppler tolerance

As explained in Sec. II, when the target has a constant motion, a linear phase shift given by  $d\phi = \sigma \pi / N$ ,  $0 < \sigma \leq 1$  proportional to target velocity will be added on to the received decoded sequence. The performance of poly-semantic sequences in terms of figure of merit without additive noise is shown in the Fig. 5 at different

Doppler phase shifts ( $\phi_d$ ) in the interval of [0.4 $\pi$ /N, 0.8 $\pi$ /N] per sub-pulse. It is observed from the figure that as Doppler shift increases above 0.5 $\pi$ /N, the performance of figure of merit deteriorates.



Fig. 4 Noise performance of poly-semantic sequences



Fig. 5 Doppler performance of poly-semantic sequences

When Doppler phase shift increases to  $0.8\pi/N$ , the figure of merit falls below 0.3 (Fig. 5). Thus the information due to target will be masked and it is not possible to identify the target. The proposed sequences have Doppler tolerance up to  $0.7\pi/N$  with corresponding figure of merit of 0.3.

### C. Combined effect of noise and Doppler shift

When the signal encounters the joint effect of additive noise and Doppler shift due to a moving target, the phase shift variation in the received signal becomes non-monotonic function as shown in Fig. 2(c). In such a case some of the sub-pulses (randomly) in the sequences may have phase shift more than  $0.5\pi/N$ . At threshold detection these sub-pulses undergo phase reversal. The performance of figure of merit decreases with the increase of such erroneous bits in the decoded sequence. This results into deterioration in the performance of PSS detection. Fig. 6 gives the figure of merit of PSS sequences at different lengths N=500 to 5000 at  $\eta = 0$  dB and varying Doppler shift in the interval  $[0.4\pi/N, 0.8\pi/N]$ .



Fig. 6 Noise and Doppler performance of poly-semantic sequences at different Doppler phase shifts ( $\phi_d$ ) and fixed  $\eta$  of 0 dB.



Fig. 7 Noise and Doppler performance of poly semantic sequences at different noise levels of  $\eta$  and fixed Doppler phase shift of  $0.45\pi/N$ .

Also, Fig. 7 shows at fixed Doppler shift of  $0.45\pi/N$  and varying  $\eta$ . It is observed that the sequences exhibits more Doppler tolerance at higher length when compared to lower length since the phase variation per bit is small at higher lengths.

## D. Range Resolution

Here, we have considered only two point targets, which are moving with same velocity within the resolution volume. The following target model is developed with Doppler shift in noisy environment. This model is simulated using MATLAB functions. Two targets, which are separated from different sub-pulses delay apart (SPDA) with sub-pulse duration ' $\tau$ ' of 50 ns (range resolution 7.5 m) are considered. In principle, the same procedure can be extended to obtain range resolution for multiple targets, which are separated from one SPDA to (N-1) SPDA. When targets are within the sub-pulse range, the resultant echo signal is the addition of all the echo signals from the targets. Depending on the delay between the echo signals, the length of the resultant sequence increases. Fig. 8 is designed as a simulated target model for generating received code  $S_R$ , when two targets are at n- SPDA (n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N-1) with Doppler shift  $f_d$  in noisy environment. The binary code  $S_b$  is clocked (2N-1) times into the target model to get the received code  $S_R$  of fixed length (2N-1). Limiter is used to limit the amplitude levels between  $\pm 1$ .



Fig. 8 Target model when two targets are at n- SPDA.

For range resolution ability, consider a target model when a dispersed echo is reflected from two targets located at sub-pulse duration apart (SPDA) of zero to (N-1). When the targets are stationary,

For range resolution ability, consider a target model when a dispersed echo is reflected from two targets located at sub-pulse duration apart (SPDA)[2] of zero to (N-1). Table. 2 gives the figure of merit for poly-semantic sequences of length, N=585 to 5100 when two targets are located at SPDA =2. It is observed that the figure of merit is same for both targets. The effect on figure of merit at different sequence lengths is shown in Fig. 3 when two targets are located at different SPDA. It is evident that the figure of merit is less for two targets when compare to single target. This is because of sharing of available energy between the peaks. Also when the targets are at different SPDA the variation in figure of merit is very less.

Length	Figure of Merit			
	Target-1	Target-2		
585	0.88194	0.88194		
633	0.88818	0.88818		
825	0.90476	0.90476		
1071	0.90556	0.90556		
1173	0.90744	0.90744		
1377	0.91336	0.91336		
1575	0.92574	0.92574		
2250	0.92813	0.92813		
3159	0.93634	0.93634		
3645	0.93715	0.93715		
4092	0.94542	0.94542		
4293	0.94204	0.94204		
4743	0.94252	0.94252		
4890	0.94235	0.94235		
5100	0.94129	0.94129		

Table.2 Figure of merit for poly-semantic sequences of length, N=585 to 5100 when two targets are located at SPDA =2



Fig. 3 Figure of merit of poly-semantic signal when two targets are at different SPDA.

#### E. Detection Performance

Let us consider a K<sub>a</sub>-band 30 GHz radar, transmitting a poly-semantic sequence of length N=1575 with pulse interval of 36.25  $\mu$ s. The sub-pulse time interval  $\tau$  is 50 ns (signal bandwidth is 20 MHz and range resolution is 7.5 m). At the receiver, the resultant waveform is multiply interpreted for coincidence detection. The poly-semantic sequences are simultaneously processed through the digital matched filters separately and absolute values of output waveforms are taken for coincidence detection.

Fig. 9 illustrates the idea of coincidence detection. Here, the three output waveforms are simultaneously displayed on time domain with zero time lag centered on the X-axis. According to the principle of coincidence detection, the target is detected when any two cross-correlation peaks of the waveforms synchronize with each other in the three waveforms. The sidelobes, which occur at different time values, do not synchronize with each other. It is also observed that the amplitude levels of cross-correlation peaks in the waveforms are very high compared to the amplitude levels of the sidelobes. This reduces the probability of false alarm to a very low value.

In noise free environment, the amplitude levels of target-1 and target-2 are nearly the same. The amplitude levels of targets decrease as the target Doppler shift increases. It is noted that when two targets are very near, the peak amplitude levels at target time lags (main lobes) in the autocorrelation decrease. The target is detected if cross-correlation peaks in the two waveforms are synchronized. For sidelobes, the amplitudes in the waveforms do not synchronize.

Fig. 9 (a) shows the output waveforms of poly-semantic sequences when two targets are at 50 SPDA in noise free environment, (b) when noise is at  $\eta = -10$  dB. The targets can be detected even if the  $\eta$  falls to -15 dB as shown in Fig. 9 (c). This is not possible with conventional sequences.



Poly Semantic Signal of lengh N=1575, and  $\eta$  = -10 dB, when two targets are at 50 SPDA



(b)



Fig. 9 Poly-semantic sequences of length 1575 for two targets at 50 SPDA (a) with no noise, (b) at  $\eta = -10 \text{ dB}$ (c) at  $\eta = -15$  dB (d) at Doppler shift =  $0.25\pi/N$  and (e) at  $\eta = -10$  dB and Doppler shift =  $0.3\pi/N$ 

# F. Ambiguity diagrams

Consider the following signal model for obtaining ambiguity diagrams [14], [15]. A Ka-band 30 GHz radar transmitting a Barker (B13) coded waveform with pulse interval of 650 ns and sub-pulse time interval ' $\tau$  'of 50 ns (range resolution of 7.5m). The Doppler frequency ranges from -675 KHz to +675 KHz with maximum target radial velocity of 10 Mach (3375 m/s). To obtain the symmetry of the filter response on the ambiguity diagram, the zero Doppler frequency and the zero time lag are shifted to the center of the plot on x-y plane. Fig. 10 shows the ambiguity diagrams for poly-semantic sequences of length 1575, when two targets are at 500 SPDA (a) with no noise (b) and with  $\eta$  of -10dB.





Ambiguity Diagram for Poly-Semantic Sequence of length N =1575, SNR = -10dB when two targets are at 500 SPDA



(b)

Fig. 10 shows the ambiguity diagram for poly-semantic sequences of length 1575, when two targets are at 500 SPDA (a) with no noise (b) with  $\eta$  of -10dB.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper poly-semantic sequences are analyzed for the detection of multiple target in high density additive noise and Doppler environment for the application of high resolution Doppler radar system. Table I shows that the PSS have higher figure of merit than any other poly-alphabetic sequence in noise free environments particularly at larger sequence lengths. These results provide the evidence that the PSS with larger pulse compression ratios can achieve the range side lobe level below 14.78 dB. This is significance improvement over conventional pulse compression sequences [13] and poly-phase alphabetic sequences [6] which provide side lobe level of 13.42 dB at length N > 1638 under noise free environment. This advantage arises because when the binary sequence is designed using 2nd order HBT algorithm, it performs recursive search such that the multiple interpretations of PSS of larger length reinforce each other through matched filtering and coincidence detection. The PSS has significant advantage of noise interference and Doppler tolerance with  $\eta$  below -20 dB at length N>4000. Another important advantage of PSS is that their detection ability is further improved in noise free or noisy environment through coincidence detection scheme. The poly-semantic sequences at higher lengths with coincidence detection has noise tolerance of  $\eta = -15$ dB and Doppler tolerance up to  $0.7\pi/N$ . While compared with poly-phase sequences, a poly-semantic sequence has achieved better noise rejection ability, higher range resolution and superior Doppler tolerance.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. R. J. Keeler and C. A. Hwang, 1995, "Pulse compression for weather radar," in Proc. IEEE Int. Radar Conf., pp. 529-535.
- [2]. F. B. Dah, C. F. Juang and C. T. Lin, 2004, "A neural Fuzzy Network approach to Radar Pulse Compression," IEEE Trans. on geosciences and remote sensing letters., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 15-20.
- [3]. M. J. E. Golay, 1982, "The merit factor of long low autocorrelation binary sequences," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory IT-28: 543-549.
- [4]. P. Z. Peebles, J. R., 2004, "Radar Principles," John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- [5]. I. A. Pasha, N. Sudershan Rao, P. S. Moharir, 2001, "Poly-alphabetic Pulse Compression Radar Signal Design," Modeling, Measurement & Control, Journal of AMSE, vol. 74, no. 4, pp. 57-64.
- [6]. Y. Mallikarjuna Reddy, I. A. Pasha, S. Vasthsal, 2007, "Poly-alphabetic radar signal processor for efficient target detection," International radar Symposium I, Bangalore, pp. 30-34.
- [7]. I. A. Pasha, P. S. Moharir and N. Sudarshan Rao, 2000, "Bi-alphabetic pulse compression radar signal design," Sadhana-Proc. Engg. Sci. Ind. Acad. Sci., vol. 25, pp. 481-488.
- [8]. K. Deergha Rao and G. Sridhar, 1995, "Improving performance in pulse radar detection in using neural networks," IEEE Trans. Aerosp, Electron Sys, vol. 30, pp. 1193-1198.
- [9]. H. K. Kawn and C. K. Lee, 1989, "Pulse radar detection using a multilayer neural network," Proc. Internat. Joint Conf. on Neural Networks, vol. 2, pp. 75-80.
- [10]. H. K. kawn and C. K. lee, 1993, "A neural network approach to pulse radar detection," IEEE Trans.Aerospace Electron. Sys, vol. 29, pp. 9-21.
- [11]. I. A. Pasha, P. S. Moharir and V. M. Pandharipande, 2003, "Poly-semantic binary pulse compression radar sequences," IEEE TENCON, IEEE technical conference on convergent technologies for the Asia-Pacific.
- [12]. P. S. Moharir, K. RajaRajeswari and K. Venkata Rao, 1992, "New figures of merit for pulse compression sequences," Journal of IETE, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 209-216.
- [13]. K. RajaRajeswari. et. al, 2007, "New figures of merit for range resolution radar using hamming and Euclidean distance concepts," Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> WSEAS International Conference on Multimedia Systems & Signal Processing, Hangzhou, China, April 15-17, pp. 139-145.
- [14]. M. I. Skolnik, 1999, "Introduction to Radar Systems," Third Edition, McGraw Hill Inc.
- [15]. A. W. Rihaczek, 1971, "Radar waveform selection: A simple approach," IEEE Trans. Aerospace Electron. Sys., vol. 7, 1971, pp1078-1086.